arXiv:1512.04488v1 [math.NA] 14 Dec 2015

Numerical Approximation of Random Periodic Solutions of Stochastic Differential Equations

Chunrong Feng, Yu Liu and Huaizhong Zhao

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loughborough University, LE11 3TU, UK
C.Feng@lboro.ac.uk, Y.Liu4@lboro.ac.uk, H.Zhao@lboro.ac.uk

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the numerical approximation of random periodic solutions of stochastic differential equations (SDEs) with multiplicative noise. We prove the existence of the random periodic solution as the limit of the pull-back flow when the starting time tends to $-\infty$ along the multiple integrals of the period. As the random periodic solution is not explicitly constructible, it is useful to study the numerical approximation. We discretise the SDE using the Euler-Maruyama scheme. Subsequently we obtain the existence of the random periodic solution as the limit of the pull-back of the discretised SDE. We prove that the latter is an approximated random periodic solution with an error to the exact one at the rate of $\sqrt{\Delta t}$ in the mean-square sense.

Keywords: random periodic solution, Euler-Maruyama method, infinite horizon, rate of convergence, pull-back, Floquet theorem, numerical approximation.

1 Introduction

The idea to regard stochastic differential equations (SDEs) as random dynamical systems can be traced back to late 1970's and early 1980's, see [3],[4],[10],[22],[24],[25] etc. For stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs), see [17],[18],[27]. The concept of stationary solutions of stochastic dynamical systems has been known for some time and is a stochastic counterpart of the notion of fixed points in the theory of dynamical systems. There are many works studying their existence for SDEs and SPDEs, such as [6],[9],[29],[30],[34] etc. An ergodic theory of random dynamical systems has been built under the stationary regime, in which stationary solutions and stationary measures, which are "equivalent", are fundamental objects.

Periodic solution has been a central concept in the theory of dynamical systems since Poincaré's pioneering work [28]. As the random counterpart of periodic solution, the concept of random periodic solutions (RPS) began to be addressed recently for a C^1-cocycle in [35]. Later the definition of random periodic solutions and their existence for semi-flows generated by non-autonomous SDEs and SPDEs with additive noise were given in [11],[12]. Denote by $\Delta := \{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2, s \leq t\}$. Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a separable Banach space, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\theta_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}})$ be a metric dynamical system. Consider a stochastic periodic semi-flow $u : \Delta \times \Omega \times \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}$ of period τ, which satisfies the semiflow relation

$$u(t,r,\omega) = u(t,s,\omega) \circ u(s,r,\omega), \tag{1.1}$$

and the periodic property

$$u(t+\tau, s+\tau, \omega) = u(t,s,\theta_\tau\omega), \tag{1.2}$$

for all $r \leq s \leq t$, and $r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. SDEs and SPDES with time-dependent coefficients which are periodic in time generate periodic semiflow satisfying (1.1) and (1.2) ([11]-[14]).

Definition 1.1. *([11],[12]) A random periodic path of period τ of the semi-flow $u : \Delta \times \Omega \times \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}$ is an $\mathcal{F}$-measurable map $Y : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{X}$ such that for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$,*

$$u(t, s, \omega)Y(s, \omega) = Y(t, \omega),\ Y(s + \tau, \omega) = Y(s, \theta_\tau \omega),\ \textit{for any } (t, s) \in \Delta.$$

It has been proved that random periodic solutions exist for many SDEs and SPDEs ([11]-[14]). Recently, "equivalence" of the random periodic paths and periodic measures and ergodicity of periodic measures have been proved in [16]. Note there are many phenomena in the real world with both periodic and random nature, e.g. temperature, energy consumption, airline passenger volumes, CO_2 concentration etc. The concept is useful in modelling random periodicity in the real world.

In literature, there have been a number of recent works such as [7] on random attractors of the stochastic TJ model in climate dynamics; [2] on stochastic lattice systems; [8] on stochastic resonance; [13] for SDEs with multiplicative linear noise; [14] for SPDEs with multiplicative linear noise; [15] for stochastic functional differential equations with additive noise; and [32] on bifurcations of stochastic reaction diffusion equations.

In general, neither stationary solutions nor random periodic solutions can be constructed explicitly, so numerical approximation is an indispensable tool to study stochastic dynamics, especially to complicated physically relevant problems. It is worth mentioning here that this is a numerical approximation of the infinite time horizon problem. There are numerous works on numerical analysis of SDEs on finite horizon, and a number of excellent monographs ([23],[26]). However, there are only a few works on infinite horizon problems. A numerical analysis of approximation to the stationary solutions of SDEs through discretising the pull-back, was given in [33]. Numerical approximations to stable zero solutions of SDEs were given in [20],[23].

In this paper, we study stochastic differential equations, which possess random periodic solutions and approximate them by the Euler-Maruyama scheme. As far as we know, this is the first paper addressing analysis of numerical approximations of random periodic solutions. Consider the following m-dimensional SDE

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{t_0} &= AX_t^{t_0}dt + f(t, X_t^{t_0})dt + g(t, X_t^{t_0})dW_t \\ X_{t_0}^{t_0} &= \xi \end{cases}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m, g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$, A is a symmetric and negative-definite $m \times m$ matrix, W_t is a two-sided Wiener process in $\mathbb{R}^d$ on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. The filtration is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{F}_s^t = \sigma\{W_u - W_v : s \leq v \leq u \leq t\},\ \mathcal{F}^t = \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^t = \bigvee_{s \leq t} \mathcal{F}_s^t,$$

the random variable ξ is $\mathcal{F}^{t_0}$-measurable. We assume that the functions f and g are τ-periodic in time. By the variation of constant formula the solution of equation (1.3) is given

$$X_t^{t_0}(\xi) = e^{A(t-t_0)}\xi + e^{At}\int_{t_0}^t e^{-As} f(s, X_s^{t_0})ds + e^{At}\int_{t_0}^t e^{-As} g(s, X_s^{t_0})dW_s.$$

Denote the standard P-preserving ergodic Wiener shift by $\theta : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \Omega$,

$$\theta_t(\omega)(s) := W(t + s) - W(t),\ t, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The solution X of the non-autonomous SDE does not satisfy the cocycle property, but $u(t,t_0):\Omega\times R^m\to R^m$ given by $u(t,t_0)\xi=X_t^{t_0}(\xi)$ satisfies the semi-flow property (1.1) and periodicity (1.2). Denote by $X_r^{-k\tau}(\xi,\omega)$ the solution starting from time $-k\tau$. Then we have for any $k>0$, $r>-k\tau$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-k\tau}$-measurable random variable ξ,

$$X_r^{-k\tau}=e^{A(r+k\tau)}\xi+e^{Ar}\int_{-k\tau}^{r}e^{-As}f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})ds+e^{Ar}\int_{-k\tau}^{r}e^{-As}g(s,X_s^{-k\tau})dW_s. \tag{1.4}$$

We will show that when $k\to\infty$, the pull-back $X_r^{-k\tau}(\xi)$ has a limit X_r^* in $L^2(\Omega)$ and X_r^* is the random periodic solution of SDE (1.3). We will study the Euler-Maruyama numerical scheme in infinite horizon and obtain an approximating random periodic solution $\widehat{X}_r^*$. We will prove that the latter converges to the exact random periodic solution in $L^2(\Omega)$ at the rate of $\sqrt{\Delta t}$ when the time mesh $\sqrt{\Delta t}$ tends to zero.

2 Assumptions and preliminary results

First we fix some notation. Let $p\geq 1$ and denote the L^p-norm of a random variable ξ by

$$\|\xi\|_p=\left(\mathbb{E}\,|\xi|^p\right)^{1/p},$$

and for any $d_1\times d_2$ matrix B, the Euclidean norm by $|B|$

$$|B|=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d_1}\sum_{i=1}^{d_2}B_{ij}^2}.$$

2.1 Conditions for the SDE

Condition (A). *Denote the eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix A by $\{\lambda_j, j=1,2,\ldots,m\}$, and assume*

$$0>\lambda_1\geq\lambda_2\geq\ldots\geq\lambda_m.$$

Set $\rho:=|\lambda_m|$. Suppose the following two conditions are satisfied.

Condition (1). *Assume there exists a constant $\tau>0$ such that for any $t\in\mathbb{R}$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^m$,*

$$f(t+\tau,x)=f(t,x),\ g(t+\tau,x)=g(t,x).$$

There exist constant $C_0,\beta_1,\beta_2>0$ with $\beta_1+\frac{\beta_2^2}{2}<|\lambda_1|$ such that for any $s,t\in\mathbb{R}$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\begin{aligned}|f(s,x)-f(t,x)|&\leq C_0\,|s-t|^{1/2},\ |g(s,x)-g(t,x)|&\leq C_0\,|s-t|^{1/2},\\ |f(t,x)-f(t,y)|&\leq \beta_1\,|x-y|,\ |g(t,x)-g(t,y)|&\leq \beta_2\,|x-y|.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that there exists a constant α such that $\beta_1+\frac{\beta_2^2}{2}<\alpha<|\lambda_1|$. We assume that the constant α satisfies the inequality in all the following proofs.

From this condition it follows that for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^m$, the linear growth condition also holds

$$|f(t,x)|\leq\beta_1\,|x|+C_1,\ |g(t,x)|\leq\beta_2\,|x|+C_2,$$

where the constants $C_1,C_2>0$.

Condition (2). *There exists a constant $K^*>0$ such that $\|\xi\|_2\leq K^*$.*

2.2 Existence and uniqueness of random periodic solution

First recall the following lemmas proved in [33], which will be needed later.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that the matrix A is symmetric and satisfies Condition (A). Then for any $\Delta t > 0$, the matrix*

$$e^{A\Delta t} - \sum_{i=0}^{p} \frac{1}{i!}(A\Delta t)^i$$

is positive-definite for odd $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and negative-definite for even $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p = 0$.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that the matrix A is symmetric and satisfies Condition (A), and let ρ be as above. Then, for $0 < \Delta t \leq \frac{1}{\rho}$, the matrix*

$$e^{A\Delta t j} - (I + A\Delta t)^j$$

is positive-definite for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

We first consider the boundedness of the solution under given conditions, which will guarantee the solution in $L^2(\Omega)$ space.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that Conditions (A), (1) and (2) hold. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq -k\tau$, the solution of SDE (1.3) satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E}\left|X_r^{-k\tau}\right|^2 \leq C.$$

Proof. First, using Itô's formula to $e^{2\alpha r}\left|X_r^{-k\tau}\right|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2\alpha r}\left|X_r^{-k\tau}\right|^2 =& e^{-2\alpha k\tau}\left|\xi\right|^2 + 2\alpha\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\left|X_s^{-k\tau}\right|^2 ds + 2\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\left(X_s^{-k\tau}\right)^T A X_s^{-k\tau} ds \\ &+ 2\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\left(X_s^{-k\tau}\right)^T f(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) ds \\ &+ 2\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\left(X_s^{-k\tau}\right)^T g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) dW_s \\ &+ \int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\left|g(s, X_s^{-k\tau})\right|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Firstly note the second and third terms of the right-hand side

$$\begin{aligned} &2\alpha\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\left|X_s^{-k\tau}\right|^2 ds + 2\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\left(X_s^{-k\tau}\right)^T A X_s^{-k\tau} ds \\ =&2\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\left(X_s^{-k\tau}\right)^T (\alpha I + A) X_s^{-k\tau} ds \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

as the matrix $\alpha I + A$ is non-positive-definite. Take the expectation of both sides of (2.1) and apply the above inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2\alpha r}\mathbb{E}\left|X_r^{-k\tau}\right|^2 \leq& e^{-2\alpha k\tau}\left\|\xi\right\|_2^2 + 2\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_s^{-k\tau}\right)^T f(s, X_s^{-k\tau})\right] ds \\ &+ \int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\mathbb{E}\left|g(s, X_s^{-k\tau})\right|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Now we use the linear growth conditions to estimate the right-hand side

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{2\alpha r}\mathbb{E}\left|X_r^{-k\tau}\right|^2 \leq & e^{-2\alpha k\tau}\left\|\xi\right\|_2^2 + 2\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\left(C_1\mathbb{E}\left|X_r^{-k\tau}\right| + \beta_1\mathbb{E}\left|X_r^{-k\tau}\right|^2\right)ds \\
& + \int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\left(C_2^2 + 2\beta_2 C_2\mathbb{E}\left|X_r^{-k\tau}\right| + \beta_2^2\mathbb{E}\left|X_r^{-k\tau}\right|^2\right)ds \\
\leq & e^{-2\alpha k\tau}\left\|\xi\right\|_2^2 + (2\beta_1+\beta_2^2)\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\mathbb{E}\left|X_s^{-k\tau}\right|^2 ds \\
& + 2(C_1+\beta_2 C_2)\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\mathbb{E}\left|X_s^{-k\tau}\right| ds + (2\alpha)^{-1}C_2^2\left(e^{2\alpha r} - e^{-2\alpha k\tau}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Also, there exits $\varepsilon > 0$, such that

$$\left(\beta_1 + \frac{\beta_2^2}{2}\right)(1+\varepsilon) < \alpha < \left|\lambda_1\right|.$$

We use the Young inequality

$$2(C_1+\beta_2 C_2)\left|X_s^{-k\tau}\right| \leq \frac{(C_1+\beta_2 C_2)^2}{\varepsilon(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2)} + \varepsilon(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2)\left|X_s^{-k\tau}\right|^2.$$

Then we have

$$e^{2\alpha r}\mathbb{E}\left|X_r^{-k\tau}\right|^2 \leq K_1 + K_2 e^{2\alpha r} + K_3\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\left\|X_s^{-k\tau}\right\|_2^2 ds,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 =& e^{-2\alpha k\tau}\left\|\xi\right\|_2^2 - \left(\frac{C_2^2}{2\alpha} + \frac{(C_1+\beta_2 C_2)^2}{2\alpha\varepsilon(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2)}\right)e^{-2\alpha k\tau}, \\
K_2 =& \frac{C_2^2}{2\alpha} + \frac{(C_1+\beta_2 C_2)^2}{2\alpha\varepsilon(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2)}, \\
K_3 =& (2\beta_1+\beta_2^2)(1+\varepsilon) < 2\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Now applying Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{2\alpha r}\mathbb{E}\left|X_r^{-k\tau}\right|^2 \leq & K_1 + K_2 e^{2\alpha r} + \int_{-k\tau}^{r}\left(K_1 + K_2 e^{2\alpha s}\right)K_3 e^{\int_s^r K_3 dr} ds \\
= & K_1 e^{K_3(r+k\tau)} + K_2 e^{2\alpha r} + \frac{K_2 K_3}{2\alpha - K_3}\left(e^{2\alpha r} - e^{K_3 r + (K_3 - 2\alpha)k\tau}\right) \\
\leq & (K_1 e^{2\alpha k\tau} + K_2)e^{2\alpha r} + \frac{K_2 K_3}{2\alpha - K_3}e^{2\alpha r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we notice that

$$K_1 e^{2\alpha k\tau} + K_2 = \left\|\xi\right\|_2^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left|X_r^{-k\tau}\right|^2 \leq \left\|\xi\right\|_2^2 + \frac{2\alpha K_2}{2\alpha - K_3} \leq K^* + \frac{2\alpha K_2}{2\alpha - K_3},$$

by Condition (2). □

As a remark, $\mathbb{E}\left|X_r^{-k\tau}\right|$ is also bounded uniformly in r. In the next lemma, we will also obtain a bound on the norm $\left\|X_{t_1}^{-k\tau} - X_{t_2}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2$ for any fixed time t_1, t_2. This will help us to estimate the error of the numerical approximation in Section 4.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that Conditions (A), (1) and (2) hold. Then there exist constants $C_3 > 0$, $C_4 > 0$, such that for any positive $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $t_1, t_2 \geq 0, t_1 \geq t_2$, the solution of SDE (1.3) satisfies*

$$\left\| X_{t_1}^{-k\tau} - X_{t_2}^{-k\tau} \right\|_2 \leq C_3(t_1 - t_2) + C_4\sqrt{t_1 - t_2}.$$

Proof. From (1.4), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| X_{t_1}^{-k\tau} - X_{t_2}^{-k\tau} \right\|_2 \leq & e^{2Ak\tau} \left\|\xi\right\|_2 \left| e^{At_1} - e^{At_2} \right| \\ & + \left\| e^{At_1} \int_{-k\tau}^{t_1} e^{-As} f(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) ds - e^{At_2} \int_{-k\tau}^{t_2} e^{-As} f(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) ds \right\|_2 \\ & + \left\| e^{At_1} \int_{-k\tau}^{t_1} e^{-As} g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) dW_s - e^{At_2} \int_{-k\tau}^{t_2} e^{-As} g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) dW_s \right\|_2 . \quad (2.3)\end{aligned}$$

We evaluate each term on the right-hand side of (2.3). First we consider the first term. By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\left| e^{At_1} - e^{At_2} \right| = & \left| e^{At_2} (I - e^{A(t_1 - t_2)}) \right| \\ = & \sqrt{Tr\left(e^{2At_2} \left(I - e^{A(t_1 - t_2)} \right)^2 \right)} \\ \leq & \sqrt{Tr\left(\left(I - e^{A(t_1 - t_2)} \right)^2 \right)} \\ = & \sqrt{Tr\left(A^2 (t_1 - t_2)^2 + \left(e^{A(t_1 - t_2)} - I - A(t_1 - t_2) \right) \left(e^{A(t_1 - t_2)} - I + A(t_1 - t_2) \right) \right)} \\ \leq & \sqrt{Tr\left(A^2 (t_1 - t_2)^2 \right)} \\ = & |A| (t_1 - t_2) .\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the third term with the Minkowski inequality, Itô's isometry and the linear growth property

$$\begin{aligned}& \left\| e^{At_1} \int_{-k\tau}^{t_1} e^{-As} g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) dW_s - e^{At_2} \int_{-k\tau}^{t_2} e^{-As} g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) dW_s \right\|_2 \\ \leq & \left\| \int_{-k\tau}^{t_2} \left(e^{At_1} - e^{At_2} \right) e^{-As} g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) dW_s \right\|_2 + \left\| \int_{t_2}^{t_1} e^{-A(s - t_1)} g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) dW_s \right\|_2 \\ \leq & \sqrt{\int_{-k\tau}^{t_2} \left| \left(e^{At_1} - e^{At_2} \right) e^{-As} \right|^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\beta_2 \left(\left| X_s^{-k\tau} \right| \right) + C_2 \right]^2 ds} \\ & + \sqrt{\int_{t_2}^{t_1} \left| e^{-A(s - t_1)} \right|^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\beta_2 \left(\left| X_s^{-k\tau} \right| \right) + C_2 \right]^2 ds} \\ \leq & \sqrt{\int_{-k\tau}^{t_2} \left| \left(e^{At_1} - e^{At_2} \right) e^{-As} \right|^2 \left(2\beta_2^2 \mathbb{E} \left| X_s^{-k\tau} \right|^2 + 2C_2^2 \right) ds} \\ & + \sqrt{\int_{t_2}^{t_1} \left| e^{-A(s - t_1)} \right|^2 \left(2\beta_2^2 \mathbb{E} \left| X_s^{-k\tau} \right|^2 + 2C_2^2 \right) ds} \\ \leq & K_4 \sqrt{\int_{-k\tau}^{t_2} \left| \left(e^{At_1} - e^{At_2} \right) e^{-As} \right|^2 ds} + K_4 \sqrt{\int_{t_2}^{t_1} \left| e^{-A(s - t_1)} \right|^2 ds}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we take some constant K_4 because $\mathbb{E}\left|X_s^{-k\tau}\right|^2$ is bounded above according to Lemma 2.3. So we just need to consider the remaining part

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-k\tau}^{t_2}\left|\left(e^{At_1}-e^{At_2}\right)e^{-As}\right|^2ds=&Tr\left(\int_{-k\tau}^{t_2}e^{-2A(s-t_2)}\left(I-e^{A(t_1-t_2)}\right)^2ds\right)\\ =&Tr\left((-2A)^{-1}\left(I-e^{2A(k\tau+t_2)}\right)\left(I-e^{A(t_1-t_2)}\right)^2\right)\\ \leq&Tr\left((-2A)^{-1}\left(-A(t_1-t_2)-\left(e^{A(t_1-t_2)}-I-A(t_1-t_2)\right)\right)^2\right)\\ \leq&Tr(-A)\frac{(t_1-t_2)^2}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the similar method to get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{t_2}^{t_1}\left|e^{-A(s-t_1)}\right|^2ds=&Tr\left(\int_{t_2}^{t_1}e^{-2A(s-t_1)}ds\right)\\ =&Tr\left((-2A)^{-1}\left(I-e^{2A(t_1-t_2)}\right)\right)\\ =&Tr\left((-2A)^{-1}\left(-2A(t_1-t_2)-\left(e^{2A(t_1-t_2)}-I-2A(t_1-t_2)\right)\right)\right)\\ \leq&(t_1-t_2).\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we consider the second term with Minkowski inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|e^{At_1}\int_{-k\tau}^{t_1}e^{-As}f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})ds-e^{At_2}\int_{-k\tau}^{t_2}e^{-As}f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})ds\right\|_2\\ \leq&\left\|\int_{-k\tau}^{t_2}(e^{At_1}-e^{At_2})e^{-As}f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})ds\right\|_2+\left\|\int_{t_2}^{t_1}e^{-A(s-t_1)}f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})ds\right\|_2\\ \leq&\int_{-k\tau}^{t_2}\left\|(e^{At_1}-e^{At_2})e^{-As}f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})\right\|_2ds+\int_{t_2}^{t_1}\left\|e^{-A(s-t_1)}f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})\right\|_2ds\\ =&\int_{-k\tau}^{t_2}\left|(e^{At_1}-e^{At_2})e^{-As}\right|\left\|f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})\right\|_2ds+\int_{t_2}^{t_1}\left|e^{-A(s-t_1)}\right|\left\|f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})\right\|_2ds\\ \leq&K_5\left(\int_{-k\tau}^{t_2}\left|\left(e^{At_1}-e^{At_2}\right)e^{-As}\right|ds+\int_{t_2}^{t_1}\left|e^{-A(s-t_1)}\right|ds\right)\\ \leq&2K_5(t_1-t_2),\end{aligned}$$

for constant $K_5>0$. Combining the above estimates we obtain that there exist constants C_3,C_4 such that

$$\left\|X_{t_1}^{-k\tau}-X_{t_2}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2\leq C_3(t_1-t_2)+C_4\sqrt{t_1-t_2},$$

where the choices of constants C_3,C_4 are independent of k and t_1,t_2. □

Now we continue to consider the difference of the solutions under various initial values. For simplicity, we here study two different initial values ξ and η.

Lemma 2.5. *Denote by $X_r^{-k\tau}$ and $Y_r^{-k\tau}$ two solutions of SDE (1.3) with different initial values ξ and η respectively. Assume that Conditions (A), (1) hold and Condition (2) hold for both initial values. Then we have*

$$\left\|X_r^{-k\tau}-Y_r^{-k\tau}\right\|_2\leq e^{\left(\beta_1+\frac{\beta_2^2}{2}-\alpha\right)(r+k\tau)}\left\|\xi-\eta\right\|_2. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. According to (1.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_r^{-k\tau} - Y_r^{-k\tau} =& e^{A(r+k\tau)}(\xi-\eta) + e^{Ar}\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{-As}\left(f(s,X_s^{-k\tau}) - f(s,Y_s^{-k\tau})\right)ds \\ &+ e^{Ar}\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{-As}\left(g(s,X_s^{-k\tau}) - g(s,Y_s^{-k\tau})\right)dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, denote $\zeta_r^{-k\tau} = X_r^{-k\tau} - Y_r^{-k\tau}$. Then according to the method used in Lemma 2.3, we get the following result similar to inequality (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2\alpha r}\left\|\zeta_r^{-k\tau}\right\|_2^2 \leq& e^{-2\alpha k\tau}\|\xi-\eta\|_2^2 + 2\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\zeta_s^{-k\tau}\right)^T\left(f(s,X_s^{-k\tau}) - f(s,Y_s^{-k\tau})\right)\right]ds \\ &+ \int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\mathbb{E}\left|g(s,X_s^{-k\tau}) - g(s,Y_s^{-k\tau})\right|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the global Lipschitz condition to get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2\alpha r}\left\|\zeta_r^{-k\tau}\right\|_2^2 \leq& e^{-2\alpha k\tau}\|\xi-\eta\|_2^2 + 2\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\mathbb{E}\left[\beta_1\left|\zeta_s^{-k\tau}\right|^2\right]ds + \int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\mathbb{E}\left[\beta_2^2\left|\zeta_s^{-k\tau}\right|^2\right]ds \\ =& e^{-2\alpha k\tau}\|\xi-\eta\|_2^2 + \left(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2\right)\int_{-k\tau}^{r} e^{2\alpha s}\left\|\zeta_s^{-k\tau}\right\|_2^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Gronwall inequality, we have

$$e^{2\alpha r}\left\|\zeta_r^{-k\tau}\right\|_2^2 \leq e^{-2\alpha k\tau}\|\xi-\eta\|_2^2\, e^{\left(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2\right)(r+k\tau)}.$$

So (2.4) follows. □

With these lemmas we can prove the following theorem that gives us the existence of the random periodic solution of the stochastic differential equation.

Theorem 2.6. *Assume that Conditions (A), (1) hold. Then there exists a unique random periodic solution $X^*(r,\cdot) \in L^2(\Omega), r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any initial value ξ with Condition (2), the solution of SDE (1.3) satisfies*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left\|X_r^{-k\tau}(\xi) - X^*(r)\right\|_2 = 0.$$

Proof. Condition (2) implies that the initial value ξ belongs to $L^2(\Omega)$. According to the Lemma 2.3, $X_r^{-k\tau}(\cdot)$ maps $L^2(\Omega)$ to itself. Now we use the semi-flow property to get that for any $r,k,p \geq 0$,

$$X_r^{-k\tau-p\tau}(\xi) = X_r^{-k\tau}(\omega) \circ X_{-k\tau}^{-(k+p)\tau}(\omega,\xi).$$

So we can regard it as a same process as $X_r^{-k\tau}(\xi)$ but with the different initial value. Thus we can apply the Lemma 2.5 to have for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $k^* > 0$ such that for any $k \geq k^*$,

$$\left\|X_r^{-k\tau}(\xi) - X_r^{-(k+p)\tau}(\xi)\right\|_2 < \varepsilon.$$

This means that there exists $N > 0$ such that for any $l, m \geq N$, we have

$$\left\|X_r^{-l\tau}(\xi) - X_r^{-m\tau}(\xi)\right\|_2 < \varepsilon,$$

which shows that $\{X_r^{-k\tau}(\xi)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence and converges to some $X^*(r,\omega)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, when $k\to\infty$. Set $u(t,r)(\xi)=X_t^r(\xi)$, then $u(t,r):\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^m\to\mathbb{R}^m$ defines a semi-flow of homeomorphism (Kunita [24]). By the continuity of $X_t^r(\omega):\mathbb{R}^m\to\mathbb{R}^m, t\geq r$,

$$u(t,r,\omega)\left(X_r^{-k\tau}(\xi,\omega)\right)\xrightarrow[L^2(\Omega)]{k\to\infty}u(t,r,\omega)\circ(X^*(r,\omega))\,.$$

But

$$u(t,r,\omega)\left(X_r^{-k\tau}(\xi,\omega)\right)=X_t^{-k\tau}(\xi,\omega)\xrightarrow[L^2(\Omega)]{k\to\infty}X^*(t,\omega).$$

So

$$u(t,r,\omega)\,(X^*(r,\omega))=X^*(t,\omega),\ \mathbb{P}-a.s.$$

Now take some other initial value η satisfying Condition (2), we have

$$\left\|X_r^*-X_r^{-k\tau}(\eta)\right\|_2\leq\left\|X_r^*-X_r^{-k\tau}(\xi)\right\|_2+\left\|X_r^{-k\tau}(\xi)-X_r^{-k\tau}(\eta)\right\|_2.$$

Applying Lemma 2.5 again, we can make the right-hand side small enough while $k\to\infty$. Therefore the convergence is independent of the initial values.

Now we need to prove the random periodicity property of the $X^*(r,\omega)$. Firstly we notice that by periodicity of f and g, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{r+\tau}^{-(k-1)\tau}(\xi) &= e^{A(r+k\tau)}\xi+\int_{-(k-1)\tau}^{r+\tau}e^{A(r+\tau-s)}f(s,X_s^{-(k-1)\tau}(\xi))ds\\
&\quad+\int_{-(k-1)\tau}^{r+\tau}e^{A(r+\tau-s)}g(s,X_s^{-(k-1)\tau}(\xi))dW_s\\
&= e^{A(r+k\tau)}\xi+\int_{-k\tau}^{r}e^{A(r-s)}f(s+\tau,X_{s+\tau}^{-(k-1)\tau}(\xi))ds\\
&\quad+\int_{-k\tau}^{r}e^{A(r-s)}g(s+\tau,X_{s+\tau}^{-(k-1)\tau}(\xi))d\widetilde{W}_s\\
&= e^{A(r+k\tau)}\xi+\int_{-k\tau}^{r}e^{A(r-s)}f(s,X_{s+\tau}^{-(k-1)\tau}(\xi))ds\\
&\quad+\int_{-k\tau}^{r}e^{A(r-s)}g(s,X_{s+\tau}^{-(k-1)\tau}(\xi))d\widetilde{W}_s.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{W}_s:=(\theta_\tau\omega)(s)=W_{s+\tau}-W_\tau$. On the other hand,

$$\theta_\tau X_{-k\tau}^r(\xi)=e^{A(r+k\tau)}\theta_\tau\xi+\int_{-k\tau}^{r}e^{A(r-s)}f(s,\theta_\tau X_s^{-k\tau})ds+\int_{-k\tau}^{r}e^{A(r-s)}g(s,\theta_\tau X_s^{-k\tau})d\widetilde{W}_s,$$

By pathwise uniqueness of the solution of SDE (1.3), we have

$$\theta_\tau X_r^{-k\tau}(\omega,\xi)=X_{r+\tau}^{-(k-1)\tau}(\omega,\xi),$$

that is

$$X_r^{-k\tau}(\theta_\tau\omega,\xi(\theta_\tau\omega))=X_{r+\tau}^{-(k-1)\tau}(\omega,\xi(\omega)).\tag{2.5}$$

From the proof of convergence we have

$$X_{r+\tau}^{-(k-1)\tau}(\omega,\xi)\xrightarrow[L^2(\Omega)]{k\to\infty}X^*(r+\tau,\omega),\quad X_r^{-k\tau}(\theta_\tau\omega,\xi(\theta_\tau\omega))\xrightarrow[L^2(\Omega)]{k\to\infty}X^*(r,\theta_\tau\omega).$$

Therefore we can conclude that

$$X^*(r+\tau,\omega)=X^*(r,\theta_\tau\omega),\ \mathbb{P}-a.s.$$

which proves the random periodicity. □

3 Numerical approximation for random periodic solution

In this paper, we will introduce the basic Euler-Maruyama method to approximate the solution on infinite horizon. Take $\Delta t = \tau/n$, which will be taken to be sufficiently small, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $N = kn$. The time domain from time $-k\tau$ to time 0 is divided into N intervals of length Δt such that $N\Delta t = k\tau$. The scheme starts from an $\mathcal{F}^{-k\tau}$-measurable random variable ξ at a time $-k\tau$. At each of the points $i\Delta t$ we set the value $\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}$ with the iteration formula

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t} &= \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} + A\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\Delta t + f(-k\tau+i\Delta t, \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})\Delta t \\ &\quad + g(-k\tau+i\Delta t, \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})\left(W_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t} - W_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right) \\ &= \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} + A\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\Delta t + f(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})\Delta t \\ &\quad + g(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})\left(W_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t} - W_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and $\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+0\Delta t} = \xi$.

After N iterations we obtain for any $M \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t} =& (I + A\Delta t)^M \xi + \Delta t \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} (I + A\Delta t)^{M-i-1} f(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} (I + A\Delta t)^{M-i-1} g(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})\left(W_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t} - W_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, we can set up a discrete semi-flow given by

$$\hat{u}_{i,j}(\xi) = \hat{X}^{j\Delta t}_{i\Delta t}(\xi), \quad i \geq j, \quad i, j \in \{-kn, -kn+1, \cdots\}, \quad \hat{\theta} = \theta_{\Delta t}, \ \hat{\theta}^n = \hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}\cdots\hat{\theta}. \tag{3.3}$$

Then it is easy to see that u satisfies the semi-flow property

$$\hat{u}_{i,j}(\omega) \circ \hat{u}_{j,l}(\omega) = \hat{u}_{i,l}(\omega), \text{ for } i \geq j \geq l, \tag{3.4}$$

and the periodic property

$$\hat{u}_{i+n,j+n}(\omega) = \hat{u}_{i,j}(\hat{\theta}^n\omega). \text{ for } i \geq j. \tag{3.5}$$

In order to prove convergence of the discretized semi-flow to a random periodic solution, we first derive some similar estimates as in Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5. Then the discrete analogue of Theorem 2.6 will give us the result. But firstly we recall the discrete time Gronwall inequality.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume y_n, f_n and g_n are non-negative sequences and*

$$y_n \leq f_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n} g_k y_k, \ n \geq 0.$$

Then

$$y_n \leq f_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k g_k \prod_{j=k+1}^{n} (1 + g_j), \ n \geq 0.$$

Moreover, if there exist a constant $c \geq 0$, such that

$$y_n \leq c + \sum_{k=0}^{n} g_k y_k, \ n \geq 0,$$

then

$$y_n \le c\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(1+g_j),\ n\ge 0.$$

The proof is referred to [21]. This lemma is needed in the proof of the existence of the discrete random periodic solution.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that Conditions (A), (1) and (2) hold. Then there exists a constant $\widehat{C}>0$ such that for any natural numbers $k\ge 0, 0\le M\le N$, and the Δt is sufficiently small, the numerical solution $\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}$ defined by (3.2) satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}\right|^2\le\widehat{C}.$$

Proof. Here we still choose α such that $\beta_1+\frac{\beta^2}{2}<\alpha<|\lambda_1|$. Then for any integer $M\le N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}\right|^2\\ &=|\xi|^2+\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\left(\frac{\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}\right|^2}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}-\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right|^2\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

This is not hard to verify by expanding the sum and note cancellations. Now we consider

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}\right|^2}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}-\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right|^2\\ &=\left(\frac{\left(\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}\right)^T}{1-\alpha\Delta t}-\left(\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)^T\right)\left(\frac{\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t}+\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)\\ &=\left(\left(\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)\left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}-I\right)+\frac{\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}f(i\Delta t,\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})^T\right.\\ &\qquad\left.+\frac{\left(W_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}-W_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)^T g(i\Delta t,\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})^T}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right)\\ &\quad\times\left(\left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}+I\right)\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}+\frac{\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}f(i\Delta t,\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})\right.\\ &\qquad\left.+\frac{g(i\Delta t,\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})\left(W_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}-W_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

Note $\left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}-I\right)\left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}+I\right)$ is non-positive definite, where Δt satisfies $0<\Delta t\le\frac{1}{\rho}$ as defined before. And for each i, we have $f(i\Delta t,\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})$ and $g(i\Delta t,\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})$ are both independent of $\left(W_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}-W_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)$. Take expectation on both sides of (3.6) and consider (3.7) to have

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}\,\mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}\right|^2\\ &\le\|\xi\|_2^2+\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\left(\frac{\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right)^2\mathbb{E}\left|f(i\Delta t,\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})\right|^2\end{aligned}$$

$$+\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\frac{\Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\mathbb{E}\left|g(i\Delta t,\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})\right|^2 \tag{3.8}$$
$$+\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\frac{2\Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)^T(I+A\Delta t)\,f(i\Delta t,\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})\right].$$

Then we apply the linear growth property on the right-hand side and Young's inequality to have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}\,\mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}\right|^2\\
\le&\|\xi\|_2^2+\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\left(\frac{\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right)^2\mathbb{E}\left[C_1^2+2C_1\beta_1\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right|+\beta_1^2\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right|^2\right]\\
&+\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\frac{\Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\mathbb{E}\left[C_2^2+2C_2\beta_2\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right|+\beta_2^2\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right|^2\right]\\
&+\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\frac{2\Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)^T(I+A\Delta t)\,(C_1+\beta_1)\left(\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)\right]\\
=&\|\xi\|_2^2+\frac{C_1^2(\Delta t)^2+C_2^2\Delta t}{2\alpha\Delta t-\alpha^2(\Delta t)^2}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}\\
&+\frac{\Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\left(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2+\Delta t\left(\beta_1^2+2\beta_1|A|\right)\right)\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\,\mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right|^2\\
&+\frac{2\Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\left(C_1+\beta_2C_2+\Delta tC_1\left(\beta_1+|A|\right)\right)\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\,\mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right|\\
\le&\widehat{K}_1+(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}\widehat{K}_2+\widehat{K}_3\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\,\mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{K}_1&=\|\xi\|_2^2,\\
\widehat{K}_2&=\frac{C_1^2(\Delta t)^2+C_2^2\Delta t}{2\alpha\Delta t-\alpha^2(\Delta t)^2}+\frac{\Delta t}{2\alpha\Delta t-\alpha^2(\Delta t)^2}\frac{\left(C_1+\beta_2C_2+\Delta tC_1\left(\beta_1+|A|\right)\right)^2}{\widehat{\varepsilon}\left(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2+\Delta t\left(\beta_1^2+2\beta_1|A|\right)\right)^2},\\
\widehat{K}_3&=\frac{\Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}(1+\widehat{\varepsilon})\left(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2+\Delta t\left(\beta_1^2+2\beta_1|A|\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here Δt and $\widehat{\varepsilon}$ need to be chosen small enough such that

$$(1+\widehat{\varepsilon})\left(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2+\Delta t\left(\beta_1^2+2\beta_1|A|\right)\right)+\alpha^2\Delta t<2\alpha.$$

This guarantees that

$$(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2\left(1+\widehat{K}_3\right)<1.$$

Now we apply the discrete Gronwall inequality (Lemma 3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}\,\mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}\right|^2\\
\le\;&\widehat{K}_1+\widehat{K}_2(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}+\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}\left(\widehat{K}_1+\widehat{K}_2(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\right)\widehat{K}_3\left(1+\widehat{K}_3\right)^{M-i-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \widehat{K}_1 + \widehat{K}_2 (1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M} + \widehat{K}_1 \left(1+\widehat{K}_3\right)^M - \widehat{K}_1 + \widehat{K}_2\widehat{K}_3 \frac{\left(1+\widehat{K}_3\right)^M - (1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}}{\left(1+\widehat{K}_3\right) - (1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2}}.$$

It turns out that,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}\right|^2 \leq & \widehat{K}_2 + \widehat{K}_1 \left(\left(1+\widehat{K}_3\right)(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2\right)^M \\ & + \frac{\widehat{K}_2\widehat{K}_3 (1-\alpha\Delta t)^2 \left(1 - \left(\left(1+\widehat{K}_3\right)(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2\right)^M\right)}{1 - \left(1+\widehat{K}_3\right)(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2} \\ \leq & \widehat{C}.\end{aligned}$$

Note the choice of the constant $\widehat{C}$ is independent of k and the lemma holds for sufficiently small time-step Δt and constant $\widehat{\varepsilon}$. □

The following lemma is a discrete analogue of Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 3.3. *Denote by $\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}$ and $\widehat{Y}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}$ the solutions of the Euler scheme with initial values ξ and η respectively. Assume Conditions (A), (1) hold and Condition (2) holds for both initial values. Let $\Delta t = t/n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, be sufficiently small such that $0 < \Delta t \leq \frac{1}{\rho}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an integer $M^* > 0$ such that for any $M \geq M^*$, we have*

$$\left\|\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t} - \widehat{Y}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}\right\|_2 < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. According to scheme (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t} - \widehat{Y}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t} = & (I+A\Delta t)^M (\xi-\eta) + \Delta t \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} (I+A\Delta t)^{M-i-1} \widehat{F}_i \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} (I+A\Delta t)^{M-i-1} \widehat{G}_i \left(W_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t} - W_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Here $\widehat{F}_i = f(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}) - f(i\Delta t, \widehat{Y}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})$, $\widehat{G}_i = g(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}) - g(i\Delta t, \widehat{Y}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})$. Denote $\widehat{\zeta}_i = \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} - \widehat{Y}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}$. Then by Condition (1), we have $\left|\widehat{F}_i\right| \leq \beta_1 \left|\widehat{\zeta}_i\right|$ and $\left|\widehat{G}_i\right| \leq \beta_2 \left|\widehat{\zeta}_i\right|$. Then according to the method used in Lemma 3.2, we get the following result similar to inequality (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M} \mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{\zeta}_M\right|^2 \leq & \|\xi-\eta\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} (1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i} \left(\frac{\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right)^2 \mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{F}_i\right|^2 \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} (1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i} \frac{\Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2} \mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{G}_i\right|^2 \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} (1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i} \frac{2\Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\widehat{\zeta}_i\right)^T (I+A\Delta t)\, \widehat{F}_i\right] \\ \leq & \|\xi-\eta\|_2^2 + \widehat{K}_4 \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} (1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i} \mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{\zeta}_i\right|^2,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{K}_4 = \frac{\Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\left(2\beta_1 + \beta_2^2 + \Delta t\left(\beta_1^2 + 2\beta_1|A|\right)\right).$$

We choose Δt small enough such that

$$2\beta_1 + \beta_2^2 + \Delta t\left(\beta_1^2 + 2\beta_1|A|\right) + \alpha^2\Delta t < 2\alpha.$$

Then, we have

$$(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2\left(1+\widehat{K}_4\right) < 1.$$

Again we apply the discrete Gronwall inequality to get

$$(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}\,\mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{\zeta}_M\right|^2 \le \|\xi-\eta\|_2^2\prod_{i=0}^{M-1}\left(1+\widehat{K}_4\right) = \|\xi-\eta\|_2^2\left(1+\widehat{K}_4\right)^M.$$

Finally we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\widehat{\zeta}_M\right|^2 \le \|\xi-\eta\|_2^2\left((1-\alpha\Delta t)^2\left(1+\widehat{K}_4\right)\right)^M < \varepsilon,$$

with sufficiently large M. □

In the numerical scheme we consider the process as two parts, $[-k\tau, 0)$ and $[0, r]$. Define

$$\widehat{X}_r^{-k\tau} := \widehat{X}(r,0,\omega)\circ\widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\widehat{X}(r,0,\omega)$, $r\ge 0$, is finite time Euler approximation of the solution of stochastic differential equation with time step size Δt, till $N'\Delta t \le r$, where N' is the unique number such that $N'\Delta t \le r$ and $(N'+1)\Delta t > r$. If $N'\Delta t < r$, define

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{X}(r,0,\omega)\xi =& \widehat{X}(N'\Delta t,0,\omega) + f(N'\Delta t,\widehat{X}(N'\Delta t,0,\omega))(r-N'\Delta t)\\ &+ g(N'\Delta t,\widehat{X}(N'\Delta t,0,\omega))(W_r - W_{N'\Delta t})\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Lemma 3.4 (Continuity of the discrete semi-flow with respect to the intial value)**.** *Denote by $\widetilde{X}_r^0$ and $\widetilde{Y}_r^0$ the solution of the finite Euler scheme with the initial values $\widetilde{\xi}$ and $\widetilde{\eta}$ at time 0. Assume Conditions (A), (1) hold and Condition (2) holds for both initial values. Let Δt be sufficiently small, $p\ge 1$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a $\delta>0$ such that for any $\left\|\widetilde{\xi}-\widetilde{\eta}\right\|_p < \delta$, we have*

$$\left\|\widetilde{X}_r^0(\omega,\widetilde{\xi}) - \widetilde{Y}_r^0(\omega,\widetilde{\eta})\right\|_p < \varepsilon. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. Note $\widetilde{X}_{N'\Delta t}^0$ and $\widetilde{Y}_{N'\Delta t}^0$ satisfy analogues of (3.2), with initial value $\widetilde{\xi}$ and $\widetilde{\eta}$ at time 0 instead of $-k\tau$. We apply the Euler scheme on the finite time $r' = N'\Delta t$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\widetilde{X}_{r'}^0(\omega,\widetilde{\xi}) - \widetilde{Y}_{r'}^0(\omega,\widetilde{\eta})\right|^p\\ =&\left|(I+A\Delta t)^{N'}\left(\widetilde{\xi}-\widetilde{\eta}\right) + (\Delta t)\sum_{i=0}^{N'-1}(I+A\Delta t)^{N'-i-1}\left(f(i\Delta t,\widetilde{X}_{i\Delta t}^0) - f(i\Delta t,\widetilde{Y}_{i\Delta t}^0)\right)\right.\\ &\left.+\sum_{i=0}^{N'-1}(I+A\Delta t)^{N'-i-1}\left(g(i\Delta t,\widetilde{X}_{i\Delta t}^0) - g(i\Delta t,\widetilde{Y}_{i\Delta t}^0)\right)\left(W_{(i+1)\Delta t} - W_{i\Delta t}\right)\right|^p\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 3^{p-1}\left|(I+A\Delta t)^{pN'}\right|\left|\widetilde{\xi}-\widetilde{\eta}\right|^p+3^{p-1}(\Delta t)^p\left|(I+A\Delta t)^{pN'}\right|\left|\sum_{i=0}^{N'-1}(I+A\Delta t)^{-i-1}\widetilde{F}_i\right|^p$$
$$+3^{p-1}\left|(I+A\Delta t)^{pN'}\right|\left|\sum_{i=0}^{N'-1}(I+A\Delta t)^{-i-1}\widetilde{G}_i\left(W_{(i+1)\Delta t}-W_{i\Delta t}\right)\right|^p, \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\widetilde{F}_i := f(i\Delta t,\widetilde{X}^0_{i\Delta t})-f(i\Delta t,\widetilde{Y}^0_{i\Delta t}),$$
$$\widetilde{G}_i := g(i\Delta t,\widetilde{X}^0_{i\Delta t})-g(i\Delta t,\widetilde{Y}^0_{i\Delta t}).$$

Denote $\widetilde{\zeta}_i := \widetilde{X}^0_{i\Delta t}-\widetilde{Y}^0_{i\Delta t}$. For convenience, we denote $C_p=3^{p-1},\ C_{p,N'}=3^{p-1}N'^{p-1}$. Taking expectation on both sides of (3.12), and noting that the Lipschitz condition of function f and g, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-pN'}\left\|\widetilde{\zeta}_{N'}\right\|_p^p \leq & C_p\left\|\widetilde{\xi}-\widetilde{\eta}\right\|_p^p+C_{p,N'}(\Delta t)^p\sum_{i=0}^{N'-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-(i+1)p}\beta_1^p\left\|\widetilde{\zeta}_i\right\|_p^p\\ &+C_{p,N'}(\Delta t)^{p/2}\sum_{i=0}^{N'-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-(i+1)p}\beta_2^p\left\|\widetilde{\zeta}_i\right\|_p^p\\ =&C_p\left\|\widetilde{\xi}-\widetilde{\eta}\right\|_p^p+\widetilde{K}\sum_{i=0}^{N'-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-ip}\left\|\widetilde{\zeta}_i\right\|_p^p,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widetilde{K}=\frac{C_{p,N'}\left((\Delta t)^p\beta_1^p+(\Delta t)^{p/2}\beta_2^p\right)}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^p},$$

which is bounded for any $1\leq p<+\infty$. Then by the Gronwall inequality, we have

$$(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-pN'}\left\|\widetilde{\zeta}_{N'}\right\|_p^p\leq C_p\left\|\widetilde{\xi}-\widetilde{\eta}\right\|_p^p\prod_{i=0}^{N'-1}(1+\widetilde{K}).$$

So

$$\left\|\widetilde{\zeta}_{N'}\right\|_p^p\leq C_p\left\|\widetilde{\xi}-\widetilde{\eta}\right\|_p^p\left((1+\widetilde{K})(1-\alpha\Delta t)^p\right)^{N'}.$$

Note

$$(1+\widetilde{K})(1-\alpha\Delta t)^p\leq(1-\alpha\Delta t)^p+C_{p,N'}\left((\Delta t)^p\beta_1^p+(\Delta t)^{p/2}\beta_2^p\right)\leq 1+C_{p,N'}.$$

The result (3.11) at $r'=N'\Delta t$ follows

$$\delta=\frac{\varepsilon}{C_p}\left(1+C_{p,N'}\right)^{-N'}.$$

Finally (3.11) at time r follows (3.10) and the estimate at $r'=N'\Delta t$. □

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that Condition (1) holds and Δt is fixed and small enough. The time domain is divided as $\tau=n\Delta t$. Then there exists $\widehat{X}_r^*\in L^2(\Omega)$ such that for any initial values ξ satisfying Condition (2), the solution of the Euler-Maruyama scheme satisfies*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left\|\widehat{X}_r^{-k\tau}(\xi)-\widehat{X}_r^*\right\|_2=0, \tag{3.13}$$

and $\widehat{X}_r^*$ satisfies the random periodicity property.

Proof. Firstly we note that the proof of the convergence of the process $\widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}$ can be made similarly as that of Theorem 2.6. According to Lemma 3.2 we know that for any M, we have $\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \in L^2(\Omega)$. We use a similar construction of a Cauchy sequence as in Theorem 2.6. As we assume that $\tau = n\Delta t$ and $k\tau = kn\Delta t =: N\Delta t$, we have the following result by using semi-flow property

$$\widehat{X}_0^{-(k+1)\tau}(\xi) = \widehat{X}_0^{-(N+n)\Delta t}(\omega,\xi) = \widehat{X}_0^{-N\Delta t}(\omega) \circ \widehat{X}_{-N\Delta t}^{-(N+n)\Delta t}(\omega,\xi).$$

It is a same process as $\widehat{X}_0^{-N\Delta t}$ with a different initial value. By Lemma 3.3 we have that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists N^* such that for any $N \geq N^*, \Delta t > 0$, we have

$$\left\|\widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_0^{-(k+1)\tau}\right\|_2 = \left\|\widehat{X}_0^{-N\Delta t} - \widehat{X}_0^{-(N+n)\Delta t}\right\|_2 < \varepsilon.$$

Then we construct the Cauchy sequence $\widehat{X}_i = \widehat{X}_0^{-i\tau}$, which converges to some $\widehat{X}^*$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. We now use the same method to prove the convergence is independent of the initial point. Note for fixed Δt,

$$\left\|\widehat{X}^* - \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\eta)\right\|_2 \leq \left\|\widehat{X}^* - \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\xi)\right\|_2 + \left\|\widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\xi) - \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\eta)\right\|_2 \xrightarrow{N\to\infty} 0,$$

where $N \to \infty$ is equivalent to $k \to \infty$.

Define $\widehat{X}^*(r,\omega) := \widehat{X}(r,0,\omega) \circ \widehat{X}^*$, $r \geq 0$. According to Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\widehat{X}_r^{-k\tau}(\omega) = \widehat{X}(r,0,\omega) \circ \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\omega) \xrightarrow[L^2(\Omega)]{k\to\infty} \widehat{X}(r,0,\omega) \circ \widehat{X}^*(\omega) = \widehat{X}^*(r,\omega),$$

so (3.13) holds. On the other hand, similar to the proof of (2.5), we obtain

$$\widehat{X}_{r+\tau}^{\tau}(\omega,\xi(\omega)) = \widehat{X}_r^0(\theta_\tau\omega,\xi(\theta_\tau\omega)) = \theta_\tau \widehat{X}_r^0(\omega,\xi(\omega)).$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{X}_r^{-k\tau}(\theta_\tau\omega) = \widehat{X}(r,0,\theta_\tau\omega) \circ \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\theta_\tau\omega) \xrightarrow[L^2(\Omega)]{k\to\infty} \widehat{X}(r,0,\theta_\tau\omega) \circ \widehat{X}^*(\theta_\tau\omega) = \widehat{X}^*(r,\theta_\tau\omega).$$

But,

$$\widehat{X}_{r+\tau}^{-k\tau+\tau}(\omega) \xrightarrow[L^2(\Omega)]{k\to\infty} \widehat{X}^*(r+\tau,\omega),$$

and

$$\widehat{X}_{r+\tau}^{-k\tau+\tau}(\omega) = \widehat{X}_r^{-k\tau}(\theta_\tau\omega), \mathbb{P}-a.s,$$

thus we have

$$\widehat{X}^*(r+\tau,\omega) = \widehat{X}^*(r,\theta_\tau\omega), \mathbb{P}-a.s.$$

We proved the random periodicity property of the limit of solution of discrete SDE. □

Example 1. Consider an one dimensional SDE (1.3) with

$$A = -5,\ f(t,x) = \sin(x) + \sin(10t),\ g(t,x) = 0.1.$$

We simulate two samples as shown in Figures 1 and 2 . We can still see some periodic property of the solutions in these two figures though they are not really periodic.



Figure 1: Random periodic solution with small noise: Sample one



Figure 2: Random periodic solution with small noise: Sample two

Example 2. The noise in the above example is relevantly small. We consider relatively larger noise with A, f and g as follows

$$A = -5,\ \ f(t,x) = \sin(x) + \sin(10t),\ \ g(t,x) = 1.$$

We simulate two different samples as demonstrated in Figures 3 and 4. Figure 5 demonstrates the average of 20,000 samples . We can barely see some periodicity in Figures 3 and 4 at sample level. But Figure 4 suggests that the mean of the 20,000 samples is approximately periodic. This agrees with our theoretical result as $\widehat{X}^*(r+\tau,\omega) = \widehat{X}^*(r,\theta_\tau\omega)$, so $\mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{X}^*(r+\tau)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{X}^*(r)\right]$, which is periodic.

This example shows a sample of a random periodic path can have a large variation from its mean. Sometimes it is difficult to recognise the periodicity at the sample level. However, numerical simulations suggest the mean of a large sample is periodic. According to our result, the SDE has pathwise random periodic solution though its sample paths e.g. given in Figures 3 and 4, do not appear to be periodic. Note in real world what we often see is only a sample path, not the mean. Thus it would be very interesting to establish some mathematical method to test random periodicity. The law of large numbers proved in [16] says that for a random periodic process, the long time average reveals the mean of the process, which is periodic in time.

Example 3. A system of stochastic Integrate-and-Fire model was considered in [5] to model the membrane potential of a neuron. According to [11], such a model has a random periodic solution. Here we simulate this biological model given by an one-dimension SDE (1.3) with the coefficients

$$A = -\frac{1}{2},\ \ f(t,x) = 0.03\sin(2\pi t) + 0.7,\ \ g = 0.01.$$

One sample path is illustrated in Figure 6 and the Monte Carlo average of 20,000 samples in Figure 7.



Figure 3: Random periodic solution with large noise: Sample one



Figure 4: Random periodic solution with large noise: Sample two



Figure 5: Monte Carlo average of 20,000 samples of random periodic solution with large noise



Figure 6: Random periodic solution of Stochastic Integrate-and-Fire model: a sample



Figure 7: Random periodic solution of Stochastic Integrate-and-Fire model: Monte Carlo average of 20,000 samples

4 Convergence theorem for random periodic solution

In the last two sections, we proved the existence of random periodic solutions of SDE (1.3) and its discretisations as the limits of semi-flows when the starting times were pushed to $-\infty$. The next step is to estimate the error between these two limits. Now we need to consider the difference between the discrete approximate solution and the exact solution. The exact solution at time $-k\tau + N\Delta t$ is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+N\Delta t}(\omega,\xi) =& e^{AN\Delta t}\xi + e^{A(N\Delta t-k\tau)}\int_{-k\tau}^{N\Delta t-k\tau} e^{-As} f(s, X_s^{-k\tau})ds \\ &+ e^{A(N\Delta t-k\tau)}\int_{-k\tau}^{N\Delta t-k\tau} e^{-As} g(s, X_s^{-k\tau})dW_s. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Then we compare the difference between the exact solution and the approximate version and try to find the convergence as $\Delta t \to 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume Conditions (A), (1) and (2) hold. Choose $\Delta t = t/n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N = kn$. If $X_0^{-k\tau}$ and $\widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}$ are the exact and the approximate solutions given by (4.1) and (3.2) respectively, then there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for any sufficiently small fixed Δt, we have*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \left\| X_0^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau} \right\|_2 \le K\sqrt{\Delta t}.$$

Proof. For any $M \le N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t} =& \left(e^{AM\Delta t} - (I + A\Delta t)^M\right)\xi \\ &+ e^{A(M\Delta t-k\tau)}\int_{-k\tau}^{M\Delta t-k\tau} e^{-As} f(s, X_s^{-k\tau})ds \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} (I + A\Delta t)^{M-i-1} f(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t})\Delta t \end{aligned}$$

$$+ e^{A(M\Delta t-k\tau)} \int_{-k\tau}^{M\Delta t-k\tau} e^{-As} g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) dW_s$$
$$- \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} (I + A\Delta t)^{M-i-1} g(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}) \left(W_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t} - W_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right).$$

Similar to the method of Lemma 3.2, we firstly consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M} \left| X_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} (1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i} \left(\frac{\left| X_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right|^2}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2} - \left| X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right|^2 \right). \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

For simplicity we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 =& \frac{1}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left(e^{-A(s+k\tau-(i+1)\Delta t)} f(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) - f(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}) \right) ds, \\
B_2 =& \frac{1}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left(e^{-A(s+k\tau-(i+1)\Delta t)} g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) - g(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}) \right) dW_s.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$X_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}^{-k\tau} = e^{A\Delta t} X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - (I + A\Delta t) \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} + (1-\alpha\Delta t)(B_1 + B_2).$$

Now we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\left| X_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right|^2}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2} - \left| X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right|^2 \\
&= \left(\left(X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} - I \right) - \left(\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right)^T \left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t} - I \right) + B_1^T + B_2^T \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} + I \right) X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t} + I \right) \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} + B_1 + B_2 \right) \\
&= \left(\left(X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} - I \right) + \left(\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t} - I - A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \right) + B_1^T + B_2^T \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} + I \right) \left(X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right) + \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t} - I - A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \right) \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} + B_1 + B_2 \right) \\
&= \left(X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} - I \right) \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} + I \right) \left(X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t} - I - A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \right)^2 \left(\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right) + B_1^T B_1 + B_2^T B_2 \\
&\quad + 2 \left(X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \right) \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t} - I - A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \right) \left(\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right) \\
&\quad + 2 \left(\left(X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \right) - \left(\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right)^T \left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \right) \right) B_1 \\
&\quad + 2 \left(\left(X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \right) - \left(\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right)^T \left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \right) \right) B_2 + 2 B_1^T B_2. \qquad (4.3)
\end{aligned}$$

We note that the matrix $\left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t}-I\right)\left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t}+I\right)$ can be non-positive-definite when we choose the Δt small enough. Now we consider each term in (4.3). First,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right)^T\left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}-I-A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right)^2\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right]\\
\leq&\left\|\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2\left|\frac{e^{A\Delta t}-I-A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right|^2\left\|\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2\\
=&\left\|\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2\left|\frac{\frac{1}{2}A^2(\Delta t)^2+\left(e^{A\Delta t}-I-A\Delta t-\frac{1}{2}A^2(\Delta t)^2\right)}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right|^2\left\|\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2\\
\leq&\left\|\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2\left|\frac{\frac{1}{2}A^2(\Delta t)^2}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right|^2\left\|\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2\\
\leq&\widehat{K}_5(\Delta t)^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[B_1^TB_1\right]=\mathbb{E}\left|B_1\right|^2\\
=&\frac{1}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau}\left(e^{-A(s+k\tau-(i+1)\Delta t)}f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})-f(i\Delta t,\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau})\right)ds\right|^2\\
\leq&\frac{1}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\left(\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau}\left\|e^{-A(s+k\tau-(i+1)\Delta t)}f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})-f(i\Delta t,\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau})\right\|_2ds\right)^2\\
\leq&\frac{2(1+\mu)}{\mu(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\left(\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau}\left|e^{-A(s+k\tau-(i+1)\Delta t)}-I\right|\left\|f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})\right\|_2ds\right)^2\\
&+\frac{2(1+\mu)}{\mu(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\left(\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau}\left\|f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})-f(i\Delta t,X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau})\right\|_2ds\right)^2\\
&+\frac{1+\mu}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\left(\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau}\left\|f(i\Delta t,X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau})-f(i\Delta t,\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau})\right\|_2ds\right)^2,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

where μ is a small number from Young's inequality, which will be fixed later. By linear growth property of f and Lemma 2.3, we know that $\left\|f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})\right\|_2$ is bounded. So for the first term in (4.4) we only need to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau}\left|e^{-A(s+k\tau-(i+1)\Delta t)}-I\right|ds\\
\leq&Tr\left(\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau}\left(-A\Delta t-\left(e^{-A(s+k\tau-(i+1)\Delta t)}-I-A\Delta t\right)ds\right)\right)\\
\leq&Tr\left(\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau}(-A\Delta t)\,ds\right)\\
\leq&\frac{(\Delta t)^2}{2}Tr\left(-A\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By Condition (1) and Lemma 2.4, the second term in (4.4) becomes

$$\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau}\left\|f(s,X_s^{-k\tau})-f(i\Delta t,X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau})\right\|_2ds$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left(\left\| f(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) - f(i\Delta t, X_s^{-k\tau}) \right\|_2 + \left\| f(i\Delta t, X_s^{-k\tau}) - f(i\Delta t, X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}) \right\|_2 \right) ds \\
&\leq \int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} C_0 \left| s - i\Delta t + k\tau \right|^{1/2} ds + \int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \beta_1 \left\| X_s^{-k\tau} - X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right\|_2 ds \\
&\leq \int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} (C_0 + \beta_1 C_4) \sqrt{s - i\Delta t + k\tau} ds \\
&\leq \widehat{K}_6 \left(\Delta t \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the global Lipschitz condition, the third term of (4.4) becomes

$$
\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left\| f(i\Delta t, X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}) - f(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}) \right\|_2 ds \leq \beta_1 \Delta t \left\| X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right\|_2.
$$

We summarise the above inequalities to have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[B_1^T B_1 \right] \leq \widehat{K}_7 \left(\Delta t \right)^3 + \frac{(1+\mu)\beta_1^2 \left(\Delta t \right)^2}{\left(1 - \alpha\Delta t \right)^2} \left\| X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right\|_2^2.
$$

This term is of the 3rd order of Δt and 2nd order of Δt with $\left\| X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} \right\|_2^2$. Similar to the $\mathbb{E}\left[B_1^T B_1 \right]$, the following term can be estimated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[B_2^T B_2 \right] = \mathbb{E}\left| B_2 \right|^2 \\
=&\frac{1}{\left(1 - \alpha\Delta t \right)^2} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left(e^{-A(s+k\tau-(i+1)\Delta t)} g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) - g(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}) \right) dW_s \right|^2 \\
=&\frac{1}{\left(1 - \alpha\Delta t \right)^2} \int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left\| e^{-A(s+k\tau-(i+1)\Delta t)} g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) - g(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}) \right\|_2^2 ds \\
\leq&\frac{2(1+\mu)}{\mu \left(1 - \alpha\Delta t \right)^2} \int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left| e^{-A(s+k\tau-(i+1)\Delta t)} - I \right|^2 \left\| g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) \right\|_2^2 ds \\
&+ \frac{2(1+\mu)}{\mu \left(1 - \alpha\Delta t \right)^2} \int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left\| g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) - g(i\Delta t, X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}) \right\|_2^2 ds \\
&+ \frac{1+\mu}{\left(1 - \alpha\Delta t \right)^2} \int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left\| g(i\Delta t, X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}) - g(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}) \right\|_2^2 ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}
$$

where μ is a small number from Young's inequality, which will be fixed later. By the linear growth property of g and Lemma 2.3, we know that $\left\| g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) \right\|_2^2$ is bounded. So we only need to estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left| e^{-A(s+k\tau-(i+1)\Delta t)} - I \right|^2 ds \\
=&Tr \left(\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left(e^{-2A(s+k\tau-(i+1)\Delta t)} + I - 2e^{-A(s+k\tau-(i+1)\Delta t)} \right) ds \right) \\
=&Tr \left((-2A)^{-1} \left(I - e^{2A\Delta t} \right) + \Delta t - 2 \left(-A \right)^{-1} \left(I - e^{A\Delta t} \right) \right) \\
=&Tr \left((-2A)^{-1} \left(4 \left(e^{A\Delta t} - I - A\Delta t \right) - \left(e^{2A\Delta t} - I - 2A\Delta t \right) \right) \right) \\
\leq&Tr \left((-2A)^{-1} \left(4 \left(\frac{1}{2} A^2 \left(\Delta t \right)^2 \right) \right) - (-2A)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} (2A)^2 \left(\Delta t \right)^2 + \frac{1}{6} \left(2A \right)^3 \left(\Delta t \right)^3 \right) \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{3}(\Delta t)^3 Tr\left(A^2\right).$$

By Condition (1) and Lemma 2.4, the second part in (4.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left\|g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) - g(i\Delta t, X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau})\right\|_2^2 ds \\
\leq &\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left(2\left\|g(s, X_s^{-k\tau}) - g(i\Delta t, X_s^{-k\tau})\right\|_2^2 + 2\left\|g(i\Delta t, X_s^{-k\tau}) - g(i\Delta t, X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau})\right\|_2^2\right) ds \\
\leq &\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} 2C_0^2 \left|s - i\Delta t + k\tau\right| ds + \int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} 2\beta_2^2 \left\|X_s^{-k\tau} - X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2^2 ds \\
\leq &\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} 2(C_0^2 + \beta_2^2 C_4^2)\left|s - i\Delta t + k\tau\right| ds \\
\leq &\widehat{K}_8 (\Delta t)^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

The third term follows from the global Lipschitz condition

$$\int_{i\Delta t-k\tau}^{(i+1)\Delta t-k\tau} \left\|g(i\Delta t, X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}) - g(i\Delta t, \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau})\right\|_2^2 ds \leq \beta_2^2 \Delta t \left\|X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2^2.$$

Conclude the above results to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[B_2^T B_2\right] \leq \widehat{K}_9 (\Delta t)^2 + \frac{(1+\mu)\beta_2^2 \Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2} \left\|X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2^2.$$

The fifth term of (4.3) can be estimate as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[2\left(X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right)\left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t} - I - A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right)\left(\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right)\right] \\
\leq &2\left\|X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2 \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left|A^2\right|(\Delta t)^2}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\left\|\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2 \\
\leq &\widehat{K}_{10}(\Delta t)^2 \left\|X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2 .
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the sixth term of (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[2\left(\left(X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right) - \left(\widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right)^T \left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right)\right) B_1\right] \\
= &\mathbb{E}\left[2\left(X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} - \frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right) B_1\right] \\
&+ \mathbb{E}\left[2\left(X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right)^T \left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right) B_1\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now we discuss these two terms separately,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[2\left(X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} - \frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right) B_1\right] \\
\leq &2\left\|X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2 \frac{\left|\frac{1}{2}A^2(\Delta t)^2\right|}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\left\|B_1\right\|_2 \\
\leq &\widehat{K}_{11}(\Delta t)^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{\widehat{K}_7}(\Delta t)^{3/2}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} + \frac{\sqrt{1+\mu}\beta_1 \Delta t \left\|X_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-k\tau}\right\|_2}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \widehat{K}_{12}(\Delta t)^{7/2} + \frac{\sqrt{1+\mu}\beta_1 \widehat{K}_{11}(\Delta t)^3}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2} \left\| X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} \right\|_2 .$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[2\left(X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)^T \left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right) B_1\right] \\
\leq & 2\left\| X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} \right\|_2 \frac{\|B_1\|_2}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \left(1 + |A\Delta t|\right) \\
\leq & \frac{2\sqrt{\widehat{K}_7}(\Delta t)^{3/2}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \left\| X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} \right\|_2 (1 + \Delta t\,|A|) \\
& + \frac{2\sqrt{1+\mu}\beta_1 \Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2} \left\| X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} \right\|_2^2 (1 + \Delta t\,|A|) .
\end{aligned}$$

We use the conditional expectation to eliminate the seventh term

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[2\left(\left(X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right) - \left(\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)^T \left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right)\right) B_2\right] \\
=&\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[2\left(\left(X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right) - \left(\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)^T \left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right)\right) B_2 | \mathcal{F}^{i\Delta t - k\tau}\right]\right] \\
=&\mathbb{E}\left[2\left(\left(X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)^T \left(\frac{e^{A\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right) - \left(\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right)^T \left(\frac{I+A\Delta t}{1-\alpha\Delta t}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}\left[B_2 | \mathcal{F}^{i\Delta t - k\tau}\right]\right] \\
=&0.
\end{aligned}$$

For the last term,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[2B_1^T B_2\right] \leq & 2\left\|B_1^T\right\|_2 \cdot \|B_2\|_2 \\
\leq & 2\left(\sqrt{\widehat{K}_7}\,(\Delta t)^{3/2} + \frac{\sqrt{1+\mu}\beta_1\,(\Delta t)}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \left\| X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} \right\|_2\right) \\
& \times \left(\sqrt{\widehat{K}_9}\,(\Delta t) + \frac{\sqrt{1+\mu}\beta_2\sqrt{\Delta t}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} \left\| X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} \right\|_2\right) \\
\leq & \widehat{K}_{13}(\Delta t)^{5/2} + \widehat{K}_{14}(\Delta t)^{3/2} \left\| X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} \right\|_2^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

Combining all the estimation above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\left| X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t} \right|^2}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2} - \left| X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} \right|^2 \\
\leq & \widehat{K}_{15}\,(\Delta t)^2 + \left(\frac{(1+\mu)\beta_2^2\Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2} + \frac{2\sqrt{(1+\mu)}\beta_1\Delta t}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2} + \widehat{K}_{16}(\Delta t)^{3/2}\right) \left\| X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} \right\|_2^2 \\
& + \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\widehat{K}_7}(\Delta t)^{3/2}}{1-\alpha\Delta t} + \widehat{K}_{17}(\Delta t)^2\right) \left\| X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} \right\|_2 .
\end{aligned}$$

Now we notice that the term $\left\| X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} - \widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t} \right\|_2^2$ has coefficients, the largest of which contains a constant multiplied by Δt. The largest free term contains a constant multiplied

by $(\Delta t)^2$. Choosing μ and Δt small enough and applying Young's inequality for the term $(\Delta t)^{3/2}\left\|X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}-\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right\|_2$, and from (4.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}\left\|X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}-\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}\right\|_2^2\\
\leq&\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\left(\frac{(1+\mu)(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2+\varepsilon)}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}(\Delta t)\left\|X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}-\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right\|_2^2+\widehat{K}_{18}(\Delta t)^2\right)\\
=&\frac{\widehat{K}_{18}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2\left((1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}-1\right)}{2\alpha\Delta t-\alpha^2(\Delta t)^2}(\Delta t)^2\\
&+\frac{(1+\mu)(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2+\varepsilon)}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}(\Delta t)\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\left\|X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}-\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right\|_2^2\\
\leq&\widehat{K}_{19}(\Delta t)(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}+\widehat{K}_{20}(\Delta t)\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\left\|X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}-\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+i\Delta t}\right\|_2^2,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{K}_{19}=&\frac{\widehat{K}_{18}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}{2\alpha\Delta t-\alpha^2(\Delta t)^2}(\Delta t)=\frac{\widehat{K}_{18}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}{2\alpha-\alpha^2(\Delta t)},\\
\widehat{K}_{20}=&\frac{(1+\mu)(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2+\varepsilon)}{(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The constants μ, ε and the time step Δt are chosen small enough such that

$$(1+\mu)(2\beta_1+\beta_2^2+\varepsilon)+\alpha^2\Delta t<2\alpha.\tag{4.7}$$

Therefore, from (4.7) and definition of $\widehat{K}_{20}$, we have

$$\left(\widehat{K}_{20}\Delta t+1\right)(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2<1.$$

Now using the discrete Gronwall inequality, from (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}\left\|X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}-\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}\right\|_2^2\\
\leq&\widehat{K}_{19}\Delta t(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}+\widehat{K}_{19}\widehat{K}_{20}(\Delta t)^2\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2i}\left(1+\widehat{K}_{20}\Delta t\right)^{M-i-1}\\
=&\widehat{K}_{19}\Delta t(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}+\widehat{K}_{19}\widehat{K}_{20}(\Delta t)^2\frac{\left(1+\widehat{K}_{20}\Delta t\right)^M-(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2M}}{\left(1+\widehat{K}_{20}\Delta t\right)-(1-\alpha\Delta t)^{-2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}-\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+M\Delta t}\right\|_2^2\leq&\widehat{K}_{19}\Delta t+\widehat{K}_{19}\widehat{K}_{20}(\Delta t)^2\frac{1-\left(\left(1+\widehat{K}_{20}\Delta t\right)(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2\right)^M}{1-\left(1+\widehat{K}_{20}\Delta t\right)(1-\alpha\Delta t)^2}\\
\leq&\widehat{K}_{21}\Delta t.
\end{aligned}$$

We can find a constant $\widehat{K}_{21}$ which is independent of M and Δt. We take $M=N$, where $N\Delta t=k\tau$, then

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\left\|X_0^{-k\tau}-\widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}\right\|_2=\limsup_{N\to\infty}\left\|X^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+N\Delta t}-\widehat{X}^{-k\tau}_{-k\tau+N\Delta t}\right\|_2\leq\sqrt{\widehat{K}_{21}}\sqrt{\Delta t}.$$

□

We have proved the estimation of error from $-k\tau$ to 0 as $k \to \infty$ can be controlled under the 1/2 order of the time-step. And the upper bound is uniform in time. The following theorem will give us a more general result, which is from $-k\tau$ to time r. Let $\widehat{X}_r^{-k\tau}$, $r > 0$ be given by (3.9).

Theorem 4.2. *Assume Conditions (1) and (2) hold. We choose $\Delta t = t/n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $N = kn$ and N' is the unique integer such that $N'\Delta t \leq r$, $(N'+1)\Delta t > r$ for $r \in [0, T]$. If $X_r^{-k\tau}$ is the exact solution while $\widehat{X}_r^{-k\tau}$ is the approximation solution given by (3.9). Then there exists a constant $\widetilde{K} > 0$ such that for any sufficiently small fixed Δt,*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \left\| X_r^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_r^{-k\tau} \right\|_2 \leq \widetilde{K}\sqrt{\Delta t},$$

for all $r \in [0, T]$, where $\widetilde{K}$ is independent of Δt.

Proof. According to the semi-flow property, we have

$$X_r^{-k\tau}(\omega) - \widehat{X}_r^{-k\tau}(\omega) = X_r^0(\omega) \circ X_0^{-k\tau}(\omega) - \widehat{X}_r^0(\omega) \circ \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\omega),$$

where $\widehat{X}_r^0$ is finite time Euler approximation of stochastic differential equation's solution from 0 to r and $\widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}$ is defined as before. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| X_r^{-k\tau}(\omega) - \widehat{X}_r^{-k\tau}(\omega) \right\|_2 \leq & \left\| X_r^0(\omega) \circ X_0^{-k\tau}(\omega) - X_r^0(\omega) \circ \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\omega) \right\|_2 \\ & + \left\| X_r^0(\omega) \circ \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\omega) - \widehat{X}_r^0(\omega) \circ \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\omega) \right\|_2 . \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

For the first term on the right-hand side, by Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\left\| X_0^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau} \right\| \leq K\sqrt{\Delta t}.$$

By the continuity of $X(r, 0, \omega)(\cdot)$ with respect to the initial value in $L^2(\Omega)$, we have

$$\left\| X_r^0(\omega) \circ X_0^{-k\tau}(\omega) - X_r^0(\omega) \circ \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\omega) \right\|_2 \leq C \left\| X_0^{-k\tau}(\omega) - \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\omega) \right\|_2 \leq C_5\sqrt{\Delta t},$$

where C_5 is independent of Δt.

Now we consider the second term on the right-hand side of (4.8), it is finite time Euler approximation with same initial value. By Theorem 10.2.2 in Kloeden and Platen [23], there exists a constant $C_6 > 0$ such that for sufficiently $\Delta t > 0$,

$$\left\| X_r^0(\omega) \circ \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\omega) - \widehat{X}_r^0(\omega) \circ \widehat{X}_0^{-k\tau}(\omega) \right\| \leq C_6\sqrt{\Delta t},$$

where the choice of C_6 is independent of Δt. The result follows by taking $\widetilde{K} = C_5 + C_6$. □

Corollary 4.3. *If we denote by X_r^* and $\widehat{X}_r^*$ the exact and numerical approximating random periodic solution of equation (1.3) respectively, which were given in Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 3.5 respectively, then*

$$\left\| X_r^* - \widehat{X}_r^* \right\|_2 \leq \widetilde{K}\sqrt{\Delta t}.$$

Proof. The result follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| X_r^* - \widehat{X}_r^* \right\|_2 = & \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left\| X_r^* - \widehat{X}_r^* \right\|_2 \\ \leq & \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left\| X_r^* - X_r^{-k\tau} \right\|_2 + \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left\| X_r^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_r^{-k\tau} \right\|_2 \\ & + \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left\| \widehat{X}_r^{-k\tau} - \widehat{X}_r^* \right\|_2 . \end{aligned}$$

□

5 Stochastic differential equation with periodic parameter matrix

In this section, we consider the following m-dimensional system

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{t_0} &= A(t)X_t^{t_0}dt + \widetilde{f}(t, X_t^{t_0})dt + \widetilde{g}(t, X_t^{t_0})dW_t,\ t \geq t_0 \\ X_{t_0}^{t_0} &= \xi \end{cases}, \tag{5.1}$$

We assume that the matrix $A(t)$ is a continuous τ-periodic $m \times m$ real matrix and the functions $\widetilde{f}$ and $\widetilde{g}$ are both τ-periodic in time, i.e.

$$A(t+\tau) = A(t),\ \widetilde{f}(t+\tau, \cdot) = \widetilde{f}(t, \cdot),\ \widetilde{g}(t+\tau, \cdot) = \widetilde{g}(t, \cdot),\ \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To solve this problem we need to apply the Floquet theorem to transfer this system to a system with the linear part having a time invariant generator.

5.1 Floquet theorem and its corollary

Theorem 5.1. *(Floquet Theorem) If $\Phi(t)$ is a fundamental matrix solution of the periodic system $\dot{X} = A(t)X$, then so is $\Phi(t+\tau)$. Moreover, there exists an invertible τ-periodic matrix $P(t)$ such that*

$$\Phi(t) = P(t)e^{Rt},$$

where R is a constant matrix.

The proof of this theorem is referred to [19]. The matrix $P(t)$ is called the Lyapunov-Floquet transformation matrix and $X = P(t)Z$ is called the Lyapunov-Floquet transformation.

Corollary 5.2. *Under Lyapunov-Floquet transformation $X(t) = P(t)Z(t)$, the periodic system (5.1) is transferred to the following system with constant coefficient matrix linear part*

$$\begin{cases} dZ_t^{t_0} &= RZ_t^{t_0}dt + P(t)^{-1}\widetilde{f}(t, P(t)Z_t^{t_0})dt + P(t)^{-1}\widetilde{g}(t, P(t)Z_t^{t_0})dW_t \\ Z_{t_0}^{t_0} &= P(t_0)^{-1}\xi \end{cases}. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. As the fundamental solution $\Phi(t)$ of the linear part in the random dynamical system (5.1) satisfies

$$\Phi'(t) = A(t)\Phi(t).$$

By the Floquet theorem, we know that

$$\Phi(t) = P(t)e^{Rt},$$

where $P(t)$ is invertible and periodic, R is a constant matrix.

Applying the transformation $X_t^{t_0} = P(t)Z_t^{t_0}$ to (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t^{t_0} =& P'(t)Z_t^{t_0}dt + P(t)dZ_t^{t_0} \\ =& \left(\Phi(t)e^{-Rt}\right)' Z_t^{t_0}dt + P(t)dZ_t^{t_0} \\ =& A(t)\Phi(t)e^{-Rt}Z_t^{t_0}dt + \Phi(t)e^{-Rt}(-R)Z_t^{t_0}dt + P(t)dZ_t^{t_0} \\ =& A(t)P(t)Z_t^{t_0}dt + P(t)(-R)Z_t^{t_0}dt + P(t)dZ_t^{t_0} \end{aligned}$$

$$=A(t)X_t^{t_0}dt + P(t)(-R)Z_t^{t_0}dt + P(t)dZ_t^{t_0}.$$

Then comparing it with the right hand side of (5.1), we have

$$P(t)dZ_t^{t_0} =P(t)RZ_t^{t_0}dt + \widetilde{f}(t, P(t)Z_t^{t_0})dt + \widetilde{g}(t, P(t)Z_t^{t_0})dW_t.$$

As $P(t)$ is invertible, we get equation (5.3). □

From the periodicity of P, we know that

$$\Phi(t+\tau) = P(t+\tau)e^{R(t+\tau)} = P(t)e^{Rt}e^{R\tau} = \Phi(t)e^{R\tau}.$$

Since $e^{R+2\pi kiI} = e^R e^{2\pi ki} I = e^R$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the constant matrix R is not unique. It is also not necessarily real, even if $e^{R\tau}$ is real. So we need the following corollary to guarantee such a real constant matrix exists.

Corollary 5.3. *Let*

$$B = \frac{R+\overline{R}}{2},\ S(t) = \Phi(t)e^{-Bt}.$$

Then $S(t)$ is real and 2τ-periodic. Under the transformation $X_t^{t_0} = S(t)Z_t^{t_0}$, the periodic system (5.1) is transferred to the following system with constant coefficient matrix linear part

$$\begin{cases} dZ_t^{t_0} &= BZ_t^{t_0}dt + S(t)^{-1}\widetilde{f}(t, S(t)Z_t^{t_0})dt + S(t)^{-1}\widetilde{g}(t, S(t)Z_t^{t_0})dW_t \\ Z_{t_0}^{t_0} &= S(t_0)^{-1}\xi \end{cases}. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. Because $A(t)$ is real, so the matrix $C = e^{R\tau} = \Phi(\tau)\Phi^{-1}(0)$ is real. Thus for the real matrix $B = \frac{R+\overline{R}}{2}$

$$C^2 = e^{R\tau}e^{\overline{R}\tau} = e^{2B\tau}.$$

Note $S(t)$ is real since B is real. And notice that

$$S(t+2\tau) = \Phi(t+2\tau)e^{-B(t+2\tau)} = \Phi(t)C^2e^{-2B\tau}e^{-Bt} = \Phi(t)e^{-Bt} = S(t).$$

Then we can obtain the time invariant system in a similar way as in the Corollary 5.2. The only difference is that the system with real constant coefficient matrix linear part becomes 2τ-periodic. □

5.2 Convergence theorem of the periodic parameter matrix system

Condition (A′). *The matrix function $A(t)$ is τ-periodic, the corresponding matrix B is symmetric and with eigenvalues satisfying*

$$0 > \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_m.$$

Because $S(t)$ is continuous and periodic, so $S(t)$ is bounded. The periodicity and continuity of $S(t)^{-1}$ is obtained from the properties of $S(t)$, it is concluded that $S(t)^{-1}$ is bounded as well. Thus there exists a constant M such that

$$\left|S(t)^{-1}\right| |S(t)| \leq \gamma.$$

For the periodic parameter matrix system, we give the following condition

Condition (1′). *Assume there exists a constant $\tau > 0$ such that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$,*

$$\widetilde{f}(t+\tau, x) = \widetilde{f}(t, x),\ \widetilde{g}(t+\tau, x) = \widetilde{g}(t, x).$$

There exist constant $\widetilde{C_0}, \widetilde{\beta}_1, \widetilde{\beta}_2 > 0$ with $\widetilde{\beta}_1\gamma + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}_2^2\gamma^2}{2} < |\lambda_1|$, such that for any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\left|\widetilde{f}(s,x) - \widetilde{f}(t,x)\right| \le \widetilde{C_0}\,|s-t|^{1/2},\ \left|\widetilde{g}(s,x) - \widetilde{g}(t,x)\right| \le \widetilde{C_0}\,|s-t|^{1/2},$$
$$\left|\widetilde{f}(t,x) - \widetilde{f}(t,y)\right| \le \widetilde{\beta}_1\,|x-y|,\ \left|\widetilde{g}(t,x) - \widetilde{g}(t,y)\right| \le \widetilde{\beta}_2\,|x-y|.$$

From this condition it follows that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the linear growth condition also holds

$$\left|\widetilde{f}(t,x)\right| \le \widetilde{\beta}_1\,|x| + \widetilde{C_1},\ \left|\widetilde{g}(t,x)\right| \le \widetilde{\beta}_2\,|x| + \widetilde{C_2},$$

where the constants $\widetilde{C_1}, \widetilde{C_2} > 0$, which are independent of time t.

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that Conditions (A′), (1′) hold. Then there exists a unique random periodic solution $X_r^* \in L^2(\Omega)$ of period 2τ such that for any initial value $\xi(\omega)$ satisfying Condition (2), the solution of SDE (5.1) satisfies*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| X_r^{-2k\tau}(\xi) - X_r^* \right\|_2 = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. We only need to verify that the corresponding time invariant system

$$\begin{cases} dZ_t^{t_0} &= BZ_t^{t_0}dt + f\left(t, Z_t^{t_0}\right)dt + g\left(t, Z_t^{t_0}\right)dW_t \\ Z_{t_0}^{t_0} &= S(t_0)^{-1}\xi \end{cases}, \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$f\,(t,x) = S(t)^{-1}\widetilde{f}(t, S(t)x),\ g\,(t,x) = S(t)^{-1}\widetilde{g}(t, S(t)x),$$

satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.6. It is easy to see that

$$f(t+2\tau, x) = f(t,x),\ g(t+2\tau, x) = g(t,x).$$

For Condition (1), the largest eigenvalue of the matrix B is λ_1. By the Lipschitz condition on function $\widetilde{f}$ and $\widetilde{g}$, we have following result in the time invariant system

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t,x) - f(t,y)| =& \left|S(t)^{-1}\widetilde{f}(t, S(t)x) - S(t)^{-1}\widetilde{f}(t, S(t)y)\right| \\ \le& \widetilde{\beta}_1 \left|S(t)^{-1}\right| |S(t)x - S(t)y| \\ \le& \widetilde{\beta}_1 \left|S(t)^{-1}\right| |S(t)|\,|x-y| \\ \le& \widetilde{\beta}_1\gamma\,|x-y|. \end{aligned}$$

This means the function f will preserve the Lipschitz property with constant $\beta_1 = \widetilde{\beta}_1\gamma$. Similarly we can prove that the function g possesses the Lipschitz condition with constant $\beta_2 = \widetilde{\beta}_2\gamma$. Meanwhile, from Condition (1′), we have

$$\beta_1 + \frac{\beta_2^2}{2} < |\lambda_1|.$$

Moreover, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$|f(t,x)| = \left|S(t)^{-1}\widetilde{f}(t,S(t)x)\right| \leq \widetilde{\beta}_1 \left|S(t)^{-1}\right| |S(t)x| + \left|S(t)^{-1}\right| \widetilde{C_1} \leq \beta_1 |x| + C_1.$$

Therefore we can verify the linear growth property of f and g with the constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. The constants β_1 and β_2 are both independent of time t. For Condition (2), the initial value of the time invariant system will preserve the boundedness because of the boundedness of $S(t)^{-1}$. According to Theorem 2.6, there exists a random periodic solution $Z_r^* \in L^2(\Omega)$ with period 2τ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| Z_r^{-2k\tau}(\xi) - Z_r^* \right\|_2 = 0.$$

It turns out that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| X_r^{-2k\tau}(\xi) - X_r^* \right\|_2 &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| S(r)Z_r^{-2k\tau}(\xi) - S(r)Z_r^* \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \|S(r)\| \lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| Z_r^{-2k\tau}(\xi) - Z_r^* \right\|_2 \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

The 2τ-periodicity of $S(r)$ and $Z_r^{-2k\tau}$ give us the random periodicity of solution $X^*(r,\omega)$. So X_r^* is a random periodic solution of (5.1) of period 2τ. □

5.3 Numerical approximation scheme and convergence theorem

With the existence of the random periodic solutions, we now consider the scheme to simulate the process Z of equation (5.3). Here the Euler-Maruyama scheme is

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{Z}_{-2k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t}^{-2k\tau} =& \widehat{Z}_{-2k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-2k\tau} + B\widehat{Z}_{-2k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-2k\tau}\Delta t + S(i\Delta t)^{-1}\widetilde{f}(i\Delta t, S(i\Delta t)\widehat{Z}_{-2k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-2k\tau})\Delta t \\ &+ S(i\Delta t)^{-1}\widetilde{g}(i\Delta t, S(i\Delta t)\widehat{Z}_{-2k\tau+i\Delta t}^{-2k\tau})\left(W_{-2k\tau+(i+1)\Delta t} - W_{-2k\tau+i\Delta t}\right), \qquad (5.6)\end{aligned}$$

where $i = 0, \ldots, N + N' - 1.$, where Δt is chosen to be sufficiently small and $\Delta t = \tau/n$ for an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $N = 2nk$, N' is the unique integer such that $N'\Delta t \leq r$ and $(N'+1)\Delta t > r$ for $r \in [0,T]$. Set $\widehat{Z}_r^{-2k\tau} = \widehat{Z}_r^{N'\Delta t} \circ \widehat{Z}_{N'\Delta t}^{-2k\tau}$. Here $\widehat{Z}_{N'\Delta t}^{-2k\tau}$ is from the finite time Euler-Maruyama scheme with time size Δt from time $-2k\tau$ to $N'\Delta t = -2k\tau + (N+N')\Delta t$ and $\widehat{Z}_r^{N'\Delta t}$ with time step size $r - N'\Delta t$ from time $N'\Delta t$ to r.

Theorem 5.5. *Assume Conditions (A′), (1′) and (2) hold, $S(t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists $\widehat{Z}_r^*$, which is a random periodic solution of period 2τ for discrete random dynamical system generated from (5.5), such that*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| X_r^{-2k\tau} - S(r)\widehat{Z}_r^{-2k\tau} \right\|_2 \leq \widetilde{K}\sqrt{\Delta t},\ r \in [0,T]$$

and

$$\left\| X_r^* - S(r)\widehat{Z}_r^* \right\|_2 \leq \widetilde{K}\sqrt{\Delta t},\ r \in [0,T]$$

for a constant $\widetilde{K} > 0$, which is independent of Δt, where X_r^* is the exact random periodic solution of (5.1).

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, there exists $Z_r^* \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \left\| \widehat{Z}_r^{-2k\tau} - \widehat{Z}_r^* \right\|_2 = 0, \qquad (5.7)$$

where $\widehat{Z}_r^*$ is a random periodic solution of period 2τ for discrete random dynamical system generated from (5.5). According to Theorem 4.2, we have the conclusion that there exists a constant $K_1 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| Z_r^{-2k\tau} - \widehat{Z}_r^{-2k\tau} \right\| \le K_1\sqrt{\Delta t} \tag{5.8}$$

for sufficiently small fixed time step size satisfying the condition mentioned above, where $\widehat{Z}_r^{-2k\tau}$ is the numerical solution to (5.5), Therefore, by the boundedness of $S(t)$ and the inequality (5.8), there exists a constant $\widetilde{K} > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| X_r^{-2k\tau} - S(r)\widehat{Z}_r^{-2k\tau} \right\|_2 \le K_1 \left\| S(r) \right\|_2 \sqrt{\Delta\tilde{t}} \le \widetilde{K}\sqrt{\Delta t}. \tag{5.9}$$

Thus it follows from (5.4), (5.7) and (5.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| X_r^* - S(r)\widehat{Z}_r^* \right\|_2 =& \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left\| X_r^* - S(r)\widehat{Z}_r^* \right\|_2 \\
\le& \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left\| X_r^* - X_r^{-2k\tau} \right\|_2 + \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left\| X_r^{-2k\tau} - S(r)\widehat{Z}_r^{-2k\tau} \right\|_2 \\
&+ \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left\| S(r)\widehat{Z}_r^{-2k\tau} - S(r)\widehat{Z}_r^* \right\|_2 \\
\le& \widetilde{K}\sqrt{\Delta t}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 4. Consider a 2-dimensional SDE (5.1) with $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
A(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} -9 + \frac{8\cos(8t)}{2+\sin(8t)} & 0 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}, \\
f(t,x) &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 - \sin(x_1 + x_2) + 8\sin(8t) \\ x_1 - x_2 + \sin(x_1 - x_2) - 10\cos(8t) \end{bmatrix}, \\
g(t,x) &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + \cos(x_1 + x_2) & x_2 - \sin(8t) \\ x_1 - x_2 - \sin(x_1 + x_2) & x_1 + 8\cos(8t) \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we find a fundamental solution as following

$$\Phi(t) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-9t}(2 + \sin(8t)) & 0 \\ e^{-9t}\left(-\frac{1}{10}\cos(8t) - \frac{1}{20}\sin(8t) - \frac{1}{2}\right) & e^{-5t} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$e^{B\tau} = \Phi^{-1}(t_0)\Phi(t_0 + \tau) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-9\tau} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-5\tau} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore we have

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} -9 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 \end{bmatrix}, \; P(t) = \Phi(t)e^{-Bt} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 + \sin(8t) & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{10}\cos(8t) - \frac{1}{20}\sin(8t) - \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this example, all the elements in matrix R are real. So $B = R$ and $S(t) = P(t)$. Thus we can apply Theorem 5.5 to this example to ensure the SDE has a random periodic solution of period $\pi/4$ and the numerical approximation scheme can be used to approximate the random periodic solution with error at rate $\sqrt{\Delta t}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ norm.

We simulate one sample and illustrate the two parameters separately in Figures 8 and 9 and together in Figure 12, in which we find the periodicity property is not evident due to the existence of noise. But with 10,000 samples, the average mean of the solution to the SDE in example 3 shown in Figures 10, 11 and 13 gives a clear numerical evidence of the periodicity.



Figure 8: Random periodic solution: Parameter 1



Figure 9: Random periodic solution: Parameter 2



Figure 10: Monte Carlo average with 10,000 samples of random periodic solution: parameter 1



Figure 11: Monte Carlo average with 10,000 samples of random periodic solution: parameter 2



Figure 12: 3D graph of multidimensional solution to example 4



Figure 13: 3D graph of Monte Carlo average with 10,000 samples of multidimensional solution to example 4

References

[1] L. Arnold, *Random Dynamical System*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1998.

[2] P. W. Bates, K.N. Lu and B.X. Wang, Attractors of non-autonomous stochastic lattice systems in weighted spaces, *Physica D*, Vol. 289 (2014), 32-50.

[3] P. Baxandale, Wiener processes on manifolds of maps, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh*, Vol. 87A (1980), 127-152.

[4] J.-M. Bismut, A generalized formula of Ito and some other properties of stochastic flows, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Gebiete*, Vol. 55 (1981), 331-350.

[5] A. Borisyuk and F. Rassoul-Agha, Quasiperiodicity and phase locking in stochastic circle maps: A spectral approach. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, Vol. 288 (2014), 30-44.

[6] T. Caraballo, P. E. Kloeden, B. Schmalfuss, Exponentially stable stationary solutions for stochastic evolution equations and their perturbation. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, Vol. 50 (2004), 183-207.

[7] M. D. Chekroun, E. Simonnet and M. Ghil, Stochastic climate dynamics: random attractors and time-dependent invariant measures, *Physica D*, 240 (2011), 1685-1700.

[8] A. M. Cherubini, J. S. W. Lamb, M. Rasmussen, and Y. Sato, A random dynamical systems perspective on stochastic resonance, 2015, *arXiv:1510.06973*.

[9] W. E, K. Khanin, A. Mazel, Ya. Sinai, Invariant measures for Burgers equation with stochastic forcing, *Ann. of Math.*, Vol.151 (2000), 877-960.

[10] K.D. Elworthy, Stochastic dynamical systems and their flows. In: *Stochastic Analysis*, ed. A. Friedman, M. Pinsky, London-New York: Academic Press 1978, pp. 79-95.

[11] C. Feng, H. Zhao, and B. Zhou, Pathwise random periodic solutions of stochastic differential equations, *Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 251 (2011), 119-149.

[12] C. Feng and H. Zhao, Random periodic solutions of SPDEs via integral equations and Wiener-Sobolev compact embedding, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, Vol. 262 (2012), 4377-4422.

[13] C. Feng, Y. Wu and H. Zhao, Anticipating random periodic solutions–I. SDEs with multiplicative linear noise, 2015, *arXiv:1502.00567*.

[14] C. Feng, Y. Wu and H. Zhao, Anticipating random periodic solutions–II. SPDEs with multiplicative linear noise, preprint, 2015.

[15] C. Feng, Y. Luo and H. Zhao, Random periodic solutions of semilinear nondissipative stochastic functional differential equations, in preparation, 2015.

[16] C. Feng and H. Zhao, Random periodic processes, periodic measures and ergodicity, 2015, *arXiv:1408.1897*.

[17] F. Flandoli, Stochastic flows for nonlinear second order parabolic SPDEs, *Ann. Probab.*, Vol. 24 (1996), 547-558.

[18] M. J. Garrido-Atienza, K.N. Lu and B. Schmalfuss, Unstable invariant manifolds for stochastic PDEs driven by a fractional Brownian motion, *J. Differential Equations*, Vol. 248 (2010), 1637-1667.

[19] P. Hartman, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 2002.

[20] D. Higham, X. Mao and A. Stuart, Exponential mean-square stability of numerical solutions to stochastic differential equations, *LMS J. Comput. Math.*, Vol. 6 (2003), 297-313.

[21] J. M. Holte, Discrete Gronwall lemma and applications, *MAA-NCS meeting at the University of North Dakota*, 24 October 2009.

[22] N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe, *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*. North Holland-Kodansha, Tokyo, 1981.

[23] P. E. Kloeden and E. Platen, *Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[24] H. Kunita, *Stochastic Flows and Stochastic Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.

[25] P.-A. Meyer, Flot dune équation différentielle stochastique, *Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg)*, Vol. 15 (1981), 103-117.

[26] G. N. Milstein, *Numerical Integrations of Stochastic Differential Equations*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995.

[27] S.-E. A. Mohammed, T. Zhang, H.Z. Zhao, The stable manifold theorem for semilinear stochastic evolution equations and stochastic partial differential equations, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.,* Vol. 196 (2008), No.917, pp. 1-105.

[28] H. Poincaré, Memoire sur les courbes definier par une equation differentiate, *J. Math. Pures Appli.*, Vol. 3 (1881), 375-442; Vol. 3 (1882), 251-296; Vol. 4 (1885), 167-244; Vol. 4 (1886), 151-217.

[29] B. Schmalfuss, A fixed point theorem and the random graph transformation, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 225 (1998), 91-113.

[30] Ya. Sinai, Burgers system driven by a periodic stochastic flows. In: *Itô's stochastic calculus and probability theory*, Springer, Tokyo, 1996, pp. 347-353.

[31] N.G. Van Kampen, *Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry*, Elsevier, 2007.

[32] B.X. Wang, Existence, stability and bifurcation of random complete and periodic solutions of stochastic parabolic equations, *Nonlinear Analysis*, Vol. 103 (2014), 9-25.

[33] A. Yevik and H. Zhao, Numerical approximations to the stationary solutions of stochastic differential equations, *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, Vol. 49 (2011), 1397-1416.

[34] Q. Zhang, H. Zhao, Stationary solutions of SPDEs and infinite horizon BDSDEs, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, Vol. 252 (2007), 171-219.

[35] H. Zhao and Z. Zheng, Random periodic solutions of random dynamical systems, *Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 246 (2009), 2020-2038.